

**Notice of Allowability**

Application No.

10/090,804

Examiner

Blake E. Betz

Applicant(s)

OBRADOR, PERE

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to applicant's remarks and amendments filed 07 February 2005.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-29.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 06 March 2002 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  
**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
7. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

  
RICHARD HJERPE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments, see pages 4 – 12, filed 02/07/2005, with respect to claims 1 – 29 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 – 29 has been withdrawn.

### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it **MUST** be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Edouard Garcia on 08/08/2005. The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 17: A computer readable medium providing instructions for concurrently processing digital video frames and high resolution still images in burst mode, wherein executing the instructions causes a machine to perform operations comprising:

acquiring with high priority video frames and high resolution still images in burst mode from one or more image sensors;

storing with high priority the video frames and the high resolution still images in raw format in a memory during acquisition of the high resolution still images in burst mode;

processing with low priority the video frames stored in the memory using a video pipeline; and

processing with low priority the high resolution still images acquired during the burst mode using a high resolution still image pipeline, wherein the high resolution still image pipeline runs concurrently with the video pipeline.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: with respect to claims 1 – 20, none of the prior art references taught of storing and storing video frames and high resolution still images in burst mode with high priority and processing the video frames and high resolution still images with low priority. The previous reference of Wyman, while teaching of storing the video frames and still images concurrently, the storing and processing of the video frames are performed with the same priority level as shown in Figure 5A. Writing the video frame then converting the frame to motion video format is performed in a cyclic flow such that one frame is stored then processed before storing then processing the next video frame. Therefore, the video frames are not stored with high priority and processed with low priority.

With respect to claims 21 and 23, none of the prior art teaches of capturing video frames in a compressed format in a non-burst-mode of operation then changing the format for capturing the video frames to an uncompressed format during a burst-mode of operation.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

**Conclusion**


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blake E. Betz whose telephone number is (571) 272-7655. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 4:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BB

BB 08/08/2005

  
RICHARD HJERPE 8/17/05  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600